A Case of True Superior Keratoconus Confirmed Using Multiple Imaging Modalities
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ABSTRACT

Aim: To report an unusual case of a newly diagnosed bilateral superior keratoconus in an elderly patient.

Background: We report a case of bilateral superior keratoconus in a 64-year-old woman who was diagnosed after having presented with hydrops in one eye.

Case Description: Uncorrected visual acuity was counting fingers from 3 and 1 meter for the right and left eyes, respectively. A superiorly located deep stromal scar and chronic corneal edema consistent with a diagnosis of corneal hydrops were noted for the left eye. Although the cornea was clear, there was superior corneal protrusion in the right eye. Pentacam and Orbscan demonstrated overlapping focal corneal thinning, increased back elevation, and steepening in the superior cornea. Anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT) showed stromal and epithelial thinning in the superior cornea and significant compensatory epithelial thickening in the opposing quadrant. In light of these findings, a diagnosis of superior keratoconus was established.

Conclusion: Superior keratoconus is a rare condition. Meticulous evaluation of corneal tomography and epithelial thickness profile is crucial for localizing the superior cone in keratoconus.

Clinical significance: This is the first case report demonstrating epithelial thickness profile in a superior keratoconus case.
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BACKGROUND

Keratoconus is a non-inflammatory, progressive, ectatic corneal disease with localized thinning and steepening of the cornea and is frequently seen bilaterally but asymmetrically. The cone is usually located in the paracentral region or below the visual axis but anecdotally it is also manifested above the visual axis in less than 1% of the cases.1,2

We report a patient diagnosed with bilateral superior keratoconus who had corneal scarring due to the corneal hydrops in one eye at presentation.

CASE DESCRIPTION

A 64-year-old female patient presented with blurred vision in her left eye for about two months. Although she had complaints of gradually deteriorating vision in both eyes that started from the age of 30, she disclosed that she had never undergone a detailed ophthalmologic examination. She had no history of smoking, allergies, atopy, ocular trauma or contact lens use. No family history of keratoconus was noted. She described habitual rubbing of her eyes, most notably the left eye. Her medical history included diabetes mellitus and hypertension.

Uncorrected visual acuity was counting fingers from 3 and 1 meter for the right and left eyes, respectively. Refraction could not be measured in both eyes due to an extremely irregular astigmatism and the corneal scarring. On slit-lamp examination, the cornea was clear and there was superior corneal protrusion in the right eye (Fig. 1A). Deep stromal scar, chronic corneal edema, and overlying subepithelial bullae in the left eye were noted (Fig. 1B).

Placido disk-based and slit-scanning topography (Orbscan II, Bausch, and Lomb, Rochester, NY, USA), Scheimpflug tomography (Pentacam Oculus GmBH, Wetzlar, Germany), and anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT) (Optovue iVue, Fremont, CA, USA) were performed. Significant superior thinning with superior steepening in the right eye was confirmed both with

Figs 1A and B: (A) Slit-lamp photograph showing superior corneal protrusion in the right eye. (B) Deep stromal scar, chronic corneal edema, and overlying subepithelial bullae in the left eye
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Pentacam and Orbscan (Figs 2A and B). The thinnest corneal thickness on Pentacam was 357 µm and was located 0.46 mm temporally and 0.39 mm superiorly from the corneal apex. K1, K2, and Kmax values were 70.5D, 70.9D, and 84.0D, respectively. The maximum posterior elevation was 159 µm and was localized in the superior cornea. AS-OCT showed stromal and epithelial thinning superiorly and a compensatory epithelial thickening inferiorly (Fig. 2C).

Initial treatment for the left eye consisted of preservative-free lubricants, dimethicone, and hypertonic saline eye drops. Penetrating keratoplasty was planned for the left eye. Visual rehabilitation using a rigid gas permeable semi-scleral contact lens was instituted for the right eye.

**Discussion**

Our case had bilateral asymmetrical superior keratoconus with corneal hydrops in one eye and the diagnosis was confirmed using multiple imaging modalities. In the right eye, the thinnest thickness, maximum posterior corneal elevation, and steepening were located above the visual axis and were strictly overlapping (Fig. 2). In the left eye, corneal hydrops was observed in the superior cornea (Fig. 1B). AS-OCT findings revealed an irregular average epithelial thickness profile that accompanied significant corneal thinning in the steep superior zones and thickening in the relatively flatter inferior areas. Although several risk factors have been implicated in the etiology of the keratoconus, our patient only reported habitual eye rubbing that was present since her childhood.

There are eight published case reports on superior corneal ectasia in the literature, three (two with Orbscan and one with Pentacam) of which were confirmed using modern elevation-based imaging modalities.1-8 The majority of the reported cases were asymmetrical, with one eye showing overt disease while the other eye showing subclinical disease.2-5 In one of the reported superior keratoconus cases, superior corneal thinning and steepening were observed to normalize after an upper eyelid blepharoplasty surgery.6 We speculate that the reversal of the findings after an eyelid surgery and confirmation of keratoconus using a non-elevation-based corneal imaging method may imply that the reported case may not have been a true keratoconus case. Our case was similar in presentation with that reported by Rogers and Attenborough,1 showing bilateral involvement and corneal hydrops in one eye.

Most cases of superior keratoconus in the published literature have been reported in the pre-elevation-based corneal imaging era, whereby localization of the cone was solely based on the anterior curvature maps.2-4,6 As such, the curvature maps can accentuate the peripheral appearance of a cone and therefore can be misleading for cone localization, whereas the back elevation maps provide more accurate information.2 Furthermore, due to epithelial remodeling, changes in the anterior curvature maps may be masked as the corneal epithelium becomes thinner in the steepened and thicker in the flattened areas.10 A thinner epithelium
in the relatively more ectatic region and a thicker epithelium in the opposite quadrant in our case corroborates the epithelial remodeling theory. Remarkably, although the difference in the corneal thicknesses between the central 2-mm zone (391 μm) and the superior 2- to 5-mm zone (386 μm) was only 5 μm, the difference in the epithelial thicknesses between the respective zones was 24 μm, further lending support to the role of epithelial remodeling in the cone area (Fig. 2C).

A differential diagnosis to consider in our case was superior pellucid marginal degeneration (PMD). Unlike keratoconus, superior PMD is characterized by localized corneal thinning that does not overlap with the maximum corneal steepening but is located above or below it. Using multiple imaging modalities, we were able to demonstrate localized corneal thinning that strictly was superimposed on the area of maximum corneal steepening and hence we ruled out superior PMD. Chiang et al.⁷ also reported on the coexistence of superior keratoconus and PMD that showed superior corneal ectasia with inferior corneal thinning.

CONCLUSION

In summary, although isolated superior keratoconus is very rare, tomographic methods in which posterior elevation maps are evaluated should be used for confirmation of superior corneal ectasia. Since the cone can be masked in the keratoconus cases due to epithelial remodeling, the epithelial thickness maps should also be evaluated in these cases.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

This is the first case report demonstrating epithelial thickness profile in a superior keratoconus case.
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