Phakic Intraocular Lenses in Keratoconus

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To discuss and summarize the indications, contraindications and results in refractive surgery for keratoconus.

Summary: Keratoconus is an ectatic corneal disease characterized by a progressive corneal thinning and irregular astigmatism that negatively impact in the visual function and the optical quality of the patients. The refractive surgery in keratoconus has been discussed by several authors. The two primary lines of action are phakic lens implantation and corneal tissue ablation using photorefractive keratectomy. The use of phakic intraocular lenses (IOLs) to correct myopia and compound myopic astigmatism associated with keratoconus is gaining popularity.

Recent findings: The use of phakic IOLs to correct myopia and compound myopic astigmatism associated with keratoconus is gaining popularity. According to a recent study by our group the safety of this procedure in visual terms is high (post-CDVA/pre-CDVA = 1.19 ± 0.29). It is also an effective operation (post-UDVA/pre-CDVA = 0.90 ± 0.26).
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INTRODUCTION

Keratoconus is an ectatic corneal disorder characterized by progressive corneal thinning that result in corneal protrusion, irregular astigmatism and decreased vision. This corneal disorder induces myopia and astigmatism in both regular and irregular forms, often leading to marked visual impairment.

The use of keratoconus surgery for refractive purposes has been discussed by several authors in medical literature. The two primary lines of action are phakic lens implantation and corneal tissue ablation using photorefractive keratectomy. The latter technique is usually combined with cross-linking.

Both are normally used in patients with forme fruste or frank, but stable, keratoconus and are not the techniques of choice in progressive patients, although there are some studies along these lines.

These techniques have also been used in combination with intrastromal corneal ring segment (ICRS) implantation.

In accordance with our research, we believe that this type of surgery should be reserved solely for stable patients. If one (or several) of the circumstances described below occurs in an interval of less than 1 year, keratoconus is considered progressive:

• Increase in astigmatism of 1.0 D or more
• Significant changes in the orientation of refractive axes
• Increase of 1.0 D or more in the optical power of the steepest corneal meridian
• Decrease of 25 microns or more in corneal thickness

INTRAOCULAR REFRACTIVE SURGERY

The use of phakic intraocular lenses (IOLs) to correct myopia and compound myopic astigmatism associated with keratoconus is gaining popularity.

This type of surgery presents a complicated clinical environment due to:

• Abnormal cornea with potential progressive deterioration.
• Very important level of higher order aberrations due to corneal irregularity limits the potential eye potential for best spectacle corrected visual acuity.
• Some aberrations, especially important: Very negative spherical aberration, (~0.35 to ~1.50), high coma (from 1 to > 5 microns).
• Special optical considerations: Corneal vertex, visual axis and pupillary axis, not coincident at all in keratoconus.

The use of phakic lenses has advantages, such as efficient and stable ametropia compensation, preservation of accommodation, centered and rotational stability not dependent on corneal morphology, retinal image magnification in patients with high myopia and reversibility in the event of failure.
Negative effects of phakic lens implantation include accelerated endothelial cell loss, cataract formation, pupil ovalization, lens rotation or decentration, photic phenomena and retinal detachment in isolated cases.

**Implantation Criteria**

Based on our experience and that described in medical literature, we believe the following criteria should be met for phakic lens implantation in keratoconus (Table 1).

According to a recent study by our group with the largest number of case studies on phakic lens implantation in keratoconus reported to date, the safety of this procedure in visual terms is high (post-CDVA/pre-CDVA = 1.19 ± 0.29). It is also an effective operation (post-UDVA/pre-CDVA = 0.90 ± 0.26). In fact, many patients stopped wearing glasses after phakic lens implantation. These results are roughly consistent with those reported by other authors.

It is also interesting to note that, based on the results of this study, implantation of a foldable lens can be as safe and effective as implantation of a hard lens. The following models were studied: foldable Artiflex lens (Ophtec, Netherlands) (Fig. 1) and the ICL [implantable collamer lens (ICL), STAAR Surgical, USA] (Fig. 2).

This result is particularly interesting, because flexible lenses can be inserted and removed through smaller incisions thanks to their flexibility.

We recommend that, whenever possible, implantation be performed using this type of microincision surgery (with incision of 1.8–2.00 mm) to ensure that the ectatic process is not affected as a result of the operation. It has been widely demonstrated that the use of this type of incision causes minimal alternations in the cornea.

### CORNEAL REFRACTIVE SURGERY

Corneal ablation for the treatment of keratoconus has been discussed by several authors. While the precision obtained in ablationing the corneal surface with laser ablation may be very high in normal corneas, it is unclear whether the same occurs with keratoconic patients due to pre-existing corneal asymmetry and to the reduced reliability and repeatability of keratometry measurements in such patients. In addition, ablating corneal tissue in patients whose corneal biomechanics and pachymetry

---

**Table 1: Indications, relative indications and contraindications for phakic lens implantation in keratoconus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Relative indications</th>
<th>Contraindications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corneal topography stable and age &gt;35–40 years</td>
<td>Keratoconus, stable following CCL (topography and refraction)</td>
<td>Progressive keratoconus, unstable corneas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good spectacle-corrected visual acuity (&gt;0.6: 20/30)</td>
<td>Following DALK or PKP</td>
<td>Young patients (&lt;25 years old?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable refraction for about 2 years. Spherical equivalent greater than –2.75 D</td>
<td>Moderately good vision but with poor tolerance to glasses or contact lenses</td>
<td>Highly aberrated eyes (total HOA &gt;3 microns) with poor best spectacle corrected visual acuity (&lt;0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of clinically significant irregular astigmatism. We consider irregular astigmatism to be clinically significant when there is a difference greater than 1 line of corrected vision between the vision obtained with glasses and the vision obtained with hard contact lenses</td>
<td>Anisometropia with adequate refractive anatomy and stable refraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

![Fig. 1: Artiflex (Ophtec, Netherlands)](image1.png)

![Fig. 2: ICL (STAAR Surgical, USA)](image2.png)
are already altered are a risk factor to bear in mind. Obviously, unlike phakic lenses, this technique cannot be used to treat high levels of myopia (which are frequent in keratoconus), because the ablation is so deep in these cases. Furthermore, this technique is not reversible.

We therefore believe this is not the technique of choice and should at least be combined with cross-linking.

Our experience shows that it is a safe technique (1.03 ± 0.08) with a high degree of effectiveness (0.91 ± 0.18), but we have only been able to confirm these results in the short term. Moreover, we detected some cases in which the ectasia became progressive. Hence, the need for combined use with cross-linking and, for more cases, studies with longer follow-up.

Interestingly, regarding the use of this surgery on keratoconic eyes, some authors like Vinciguerra et al suggest using corneal topography data before epithelial removal to avoid the masking effect over the stroma that may occur in keratoconus. This is obviously an inconvenient practice for the patient, but a well-founded one in our opinion.

Lastly, regarding the ablation profile to use, we think it is better to use wavefront-guided ablation as opposed to topography-guided ablation. This is because with the latter technique the operation is conducted based on the aberrometric profile of the anterior corneal surface. As it has been widely reported in the literature, high internal astigmatism is common in keratoconic eyes due to large disparities between the anterior and posterior corneal surfaces. For this reason, we believe it is better to use a customized wavefront profile.

In any case, as stated above, we do not consider PRK on its own to be the technique of choice in keratoconus, despite the high efficacy rates obtained (in a small series of only 21 eyes), due to doubts concerning long-term stability.

CONCLUSION

- Phakic intraocular lenses offer excellent visual results in keratoconus stable patients.
- The keratometric stability was confirmed during the follow-up (mean FU = 15 months).
- The use of PIOLs can be combined with other procedures, such as ICRS implantation or cross-linking.
- High safety levels were achieved with both type of lenses, Artiflex (1.22 ± 0.33) and ICL (1.21 ± 0.28). No significant difference was found (p = 0.588, Mann-Whitney test).
- Better values of the efficacy index (p = 0.058, Mann-Whitney test) were found for the iris-fixated model (0.96 ± 0.22) compared with the posterior chamber model (0.88 ± 0.28).
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